

For $n \geq 5$ There is no Nontrivial Z_2 -Measure on $L(R^n)$

Mirko Navara¹ and Pavel Pták²

We prove the statement in the title. As a consequence, we note that there is no nontrivial Z_2 -measure on $L(H)$, $\dim H = \infty$.

KEY WORDS: quantum logic; projection lattice; group-valued measure.

1. INTRODUCTION

In (Foulis, 2000), D. Foulis initiates and investigates a new approach to the theoretical foundation of quantum mechanics. The approach is based on the concept of *universal group* associated with group-valued measures on a quantum logic. Naturally, a better understanding of group-valued measures has become desirable (for some previous results, see (Greechie, 1971; Navara, 1994; Ovchinnikov, 1999; Pták, 1998; Weber, 1994), etc.). For the group Z_2 (the group $\{0, 1\} \bmod 2$), however, the interest coming from the motivation indicated above combines with the interest in the intriguing question of “hidden variables” (see (Bell, 1966; Kochen and Specker, 1967; Mermin, 1993; Pitowsky, 1998; Svozil and Tkadlec, 1996), etc.). This fact, together with some initial observations on group-valued measures, has been communicated to us by J. Harding (Harding). Responding to this, we show that for the projection logic $L(R^n)$, where $n \geq 5$, there is no nontrivial Z_2 -valued measure (alias “there is no generalized hidden variable on $L(R^n)$, $n \geq 5$ ”).

2. NOTIONS AND RESULTS

We shall use the notion of *quantum logic* $(L, \leq, ')$ in its standard meaning (see e.g., (Pták and Pulmannová, 1991)), we do not require that $(L, \leq, ')$ be σ -complete. We harmlessly abuse the notation by referring to L only.

¹Center for Machine Perception, Department of Cybernetics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University, Technická 2, 166 27 Prague 6, Czech Republic; e-mail: navara@cmp.felk.cvut.cz.

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University, Technická 2, 166 27 Prague 6, Czech Republic; e-mail: ptak@math.feld.cvut.cz.

The principal notion we want to study here is introduced in the following definition. (Recall that by Z_2 , we shall denote the group $\{0, 1\}$ with the “mod 2” addition.)

Definition 2.1. Let L be a quantum logic. A mapping $s: L \rightarrow Z_2$ is said to be a Z_2 -measure if $s(0) = 0$ and $s(a \vee b) = s(a) + s(b)$ whenever $a \leq b'$.

In this note, we take up the fundamental case of L being the projection logic $L(R^n)$ of R^n ($n \in N$). Obviously, $L(R^n)$ always possesses Z_2 -measures – it suffices to set $s(a) = 0$ for each atom a of $L(R^n)$. Also, if we set $s(a) = 1$ for each atom, we obtain a Z_2 -measure on $L(R^n)$, too. The situation becomes more interesting if we exclude these trivial cases. Let us say that a Z_2 -measure s is *nontrivial* if there are atoms $a, b \in L(R^n)$ with $s(a) = 0$ and $s(b) = 1$. Clearly, $L(R^1)$ is too primitive to be checked and $L(R^2)$ possesses nontrivial measures. The case of $L(R^3)$ seems entirely open. (Obviously, beginning with $n = 3$, there is no standard two-valued measure on $L(R^n)$, see e.g., (Kochen and Specker, 1967).) For $L(R^4)$, we have a partial result (Theorem 2) which suggests that there is no nontrivial Z_2 -measure on $L(R^4)$. For $L(R^n)$, $n \geq 5$, we show (Theorem 3) that there is no nontrivial Z_2 -measure on this logic.

Theorem 2.1. *There is no nontrivial Z_2 -measure, s , on $L(R^4)$ which satisfies $s(1) = 1$ (here 1 in the parentheses obviously means the identity projection on R^4).*

Proof: Take an orthogonal basis $B = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of R^4 . For typographical reasons, let us adopt the convention that $\bar{1}$ denotes -1 . Consider the collection of 36 vectors expressed in Table I in terms of their coordinates with respect to B . Observe that each column represents an orthogonal basis of R^4 and that each vector *occurs twice* in the collection. (This collection is a modification of that dealt with in (Peres, 1995).) Suppose that $s: L(R^n) \rightarrow Z_2$ is a nontrivial measure with $s(1) = 1$. Then if we restrict s to the one-dimensional subspaces generated by vectors belonging to a given column, we immediately see that the value 1 occurs either one or three times. Since we have nine columns, the total sum (mod 2) of all values the Z_2 -measure s attained on all subspaces (vectors) of the collection is 1. But each vector occurs twice. This implies that the total sum must be 0 – a contradiction. □

Table I. Nine orthogonal bases of R^4

1000	1000	0100	1111	1111	111 $\bar{1}$	11 $\bar{1}\bar{1}$	111 $\bar{1}$	11 $\bar{1}\bar{1}$
0100	0010	0010	11 $\bar{1}\bar{1}$	1 $\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$	11 $\bar{1}\bar{1}$	1 $\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$	1 $\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$	1 $\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}$
0011	0101	1001	1 $\bar{1}$ 00	10 $\bar{1}$ 0	1 $\bar{1}$ 00	1001	10 $\bar{1}$ 0	100 $\bar{1}$
001 $\bar{1}$	010 $\bar{1}$	100 $\bar{1}$	001 $\bar{1}$	010 $\bar{1}$	0011	0110	0101	0110

Theorem 2.2. *If $n \geq 5$, then there is no nontrivial Z_2 -measure on $L(R^n)$.*

Proof: We provide the proof for $n = 5$ – the general case follows easily. Let $s: L(R^5) \rightarrow Z_2$ be a nontrivial Z_2 -measure. Then there is an atom, $a \in L(R^5)$, such that $s(a) \neq s(1)$. It follows that $s(a^\perp) = 1$. But a^\perp is a four-dimensional space and we could easily be able to construct a nontrivial Z_2 -measure, t , on $L(R^4)$ with $t(1) = 1$. But this cannot be done in view of Theorem 2. The proof is complete (the extension to $L(R^n)$, $n > 5$, is straightforward). \square

Observe that since $L(R^5)$ can be viewed as a quantum sublogic of $L(H)$ for an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H (see e.g., (Hamhalter and Pták, 1992)), the result continues to hold true for the logics $L(H)$, $\dim H = \infty$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the Czech Ministry of Education under Research Programme MSM 212300013 “Decision Making and Control in Manufacturing” and grant 201/00/0331 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic. The authors thank David Buhagiar for a correction of the proof of Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- Bell, J. S. (1966). On the problem of hidden variables in quantum theory. *Review of Modern Physics* **38**, 447–452.
- Greechie, R. J. (1971). Orthomodular lattices admitting no states. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory* **10**, 119–132.
- Gudder, S. P. (1988). *Quantum Probability*, Academic Press, New York.
- Foulis, D. (2000). Representations on unigroups. In *Current Research in Operational Quantum Logic: Algebras, Categories and Languages*, B. Coecke, D. Moore, and A. Wilce eds., Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Hamhalter, J. and Pták, P. (1992). Hilbert-space valued states on quantum logics. *Applications Mat.* **37**, 51–61.
- Harding, J.: Notes on group-valued measures on $L(H)$.
- Kochen, S. and Specker, E. P. (1967). The problem of hidden variables in quantum mechanics. *Journal of Mathematical Mechanics* **17**, 59–87.
- Mermin, N. D. (1993). Hidden variables and the two theorems of John Bell. *Review of Modern Physics* **65**, 803–815.
- Navara, M. (1994). An orthomodular lattice admitting no group-valued measure. *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society* **122**, 7–12.
- Ovchinnikov, P. G. (1999). Measures on finite concrete logics. *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society* **127**(7), 1957–1966.
- Peres, A. (1995). *Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods*, Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Pitowsky, I. (1998). Infinite and finite Gleason’s theorems and the logic of indeterminacy. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **39**, 218–228.
- Pták, P. (1998). Some nearly Boolean orthomodular posets. *Proceedings of American Mathematical Society* **126**(7), 2039–2046.

- Pták, P. and Pulmannová, S. (1991). *Orthomodular Structures as Quantum Logics*, Kluwer, Dordrecht/Boston/London.
- Svozil, K. and Tkadlec, J. (1996). Measures and the Kochen–Specker theorem. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **37**, 5380–5401.
- Weber, H. (1994). There are orthomodular lattices without non-trivial group valued states; a computer-based construction. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **183**, 89–94.